

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,927	04/27/2005	Laurent Fay	FR 020111	4567
24737 7590 03/08/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER -	
			ALPHONSE, FRITZ	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2133	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/532,927	FAY ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	•	Fritz Alphonse	2133
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3,5 and 8</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	vn from consideration. r election requirement.	GUY LAMARRE PRIMARY EXAMINER
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 April 2005</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

0.1 This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 12/08/2006. Claims 1-10 are amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guha (U.S. Pat. No. 5,699,369) in view of Doshi (U.S. Pat. No. 5,130,986) and further in view of Kang (U.S. Pat. No. 6,615,382).

As to claims 1, 4 and 7, Guha (figs. 6-8) discloses a transmission system comprising at least a transmitter (208), a transmission network (see figure 8) having a time varying state, and a receiver (216), said transmitter comprising an encoder for generating redundancy packets from media packets so as to provide an error correction capability of a certain number of packets at said receiver (col. 10, lines 1-28, lines 33 to col. 11, line 19), said correction capability depending on the amount of redundancy generated by said encoder (col. 15, lines 39 through col. 16 line 3)

Guha does not explicitly disclose a receiver comprising an analyzer for analyzing the packet errors occurring on the transmission network. However, the limitations are obvious and well known in the art, as evidenced by Doshi (col. 2, lines 25-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to improve upon the transport protocol device, as disclosed by Doshi. Doing so would provide an acknowledgment signal for advising the transmitter as to whether or not the receiver has correctly received a block of packet from the transmitter.

Guha does not explicitly disclose an optimal amount of redundancy being fed back to the transmitter so as to be used by the encoder.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Kang discloses a method for controlling errors in a wireless link layer using an adaptive forward error correction scheme wherein an optimal amount of redundancy being fed back to said transmitter so as to be used by said encoder (col. 7, lines 31-55; col. 8, lines 20-31; fig. 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Guha with the wireless system, as disclosed by Kang. Doing so would provide a method for controlling errors in a link layer using a simultaneous multiple copy scheme and an adaptive forward error control scheme, which is capable of satisfying quality of service such as cell propagation delay time and a cell loss ratio for a real-time service as well as a non-real time service in a wideband wireless communication.

As to claim 2, Guha (fig. 8) shows a transmission system, wherein the transmitter (208) comprises a media source (higher layer input) for delivering media packets with an adaptable media bitrate, and said encoder is designed to send to said media source an order for adapting said media bitrate depending on the amount of redundancy currently added by the encoder (col. 7, lines 66 through col. 8 line 15; col. 28, lines 28-53).

As to claim 6, Guha (fig. 8) discloses a receiver (216) wherein the received media packets an intended to be used by an application, and said maximum tolerated packet error rate is set by said application (col. 10, lines 1-22).

As to claims 9-10, method claims 9-10 correspond to apparatus claim 1; therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claim 1 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3, 5 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

Art Unit: 2133

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3824.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2133

March 3, 2007